Objective: The purpose of this study was to compare the efficacy of ethyl-eicosapentaenoic acid (E-EPA) and placebo in the treatment of female subjects with borderline personality disorder.

Method: The authors conducted an 8-week, placebo-controlled, double-blind study of E-EPA in 30 female subjects meeting Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines and DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder.

Results: Twenty subjects were randomly assigned to 1 g of E-EPA; 10 subjects were given placebo. Ninety percent of those in both groups completed all 8 weeks of the trial. Analyses that used random-effects regression modeling and controlled for baseline severity showed E-EPA to be superior to placebo in diminishing aggression as well as the severity of depressive symptoms.

Conclusions: The results of this study suggest that E-EPA may be a safe and effective form of monotherapy for women with moderately severe borderline personality disorder.
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Borderline personality disorder is marked by notable reactivity of mood and impulsive aggression. Because response to antidepressants and mood stabilizers has typically been clinically modest in this patient group (1), the identification of novel treatments is needed. Candidates include omega-3 fatty acids, such as eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid, which are commonly found in seafood and have beneficial effects and none of the adverse side effects commonly associated with pharmacotherapy. In cross-national studies, greater seafood consumption was associated with lower rates of bipolar disorder (30-fold range) and major depression (50-fold range) (2). In placebo-controlled trials, a mixture of these fatty acids was found to be an effective adjunctive agent for patients suffering from bipolar disorder (3), and ethyl-eicosapentaenoic acid (E-EPA) was found to have a beneficial adjunctive effect for patients suffering from recurrent depression (4). Because of the shared symptoms of borderline personality disorder and these mood disorders, a double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of E-EPA seemed warranted.

Method

Recruitment of women between the ages of 18 and 40 was accomplished through advertisements in Boston newspapers. These ads asked, “Are you extremely moody? Do you often feel out of control? Are your relationships painful and difficult?” Subjects were initially screened by telephone to assess whether they met DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder by using the borderline module of the Diagnostic Interview for DSM-IV Personality Disorders (5). A general medical and psychiatric history was also taken at the time of first telephone contact. Potential subjects were excluded if they were medically ill, were currently in the midst of a major depressive episode. Study duration was 8 weeks. Subjects were seen every week for the first month and then biweekly for the next month. Both psychiatric rating scales were readministered at each subsequent visit. Side effects were also assessed at these visits with a structured questionnaire.

Subjects received two capsules per day (beginning the day after their baseline assessment); each capsule contained either 500 mg of 97% E-EPA or a placebo (mineral oil). One gram was chosen as the dose most likely to be effective on the basis of unpublished studies in depression (David Horrobin, personal communication, Feb. 1, 2001). Capsules were supplied by Laxdale Pharmaceuticals (Stirling, U.K.). Between-group baseline demographic variables and clinical history variables were analyzed by using chi-square analyses for categorical variables and Student’s t test for continuous variables. Student’s t test was also used to analyze the between-group difference on the baseline value of the mean Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale score (which was normally distributed). The nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to analyze the between-group difference on the mean Modified Overt Aggression Scale score because of the skewed distribution of this variable.

Random effects regression modeling methods were used to assess between-group differences on both outcome measures using all available panel data. Baseline value (for each subject), treatment week, were actively abusing alcohol or drugs, or were acutely suicidal.

Subjects were next invited to participate in face-to-face interviews. At that time, the study procedures were fully explained, and written informed consent was obtained. Two semistructured diagnostic interviews were then administered to each subject: the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (6) and the Revised Diagnostic Interview for Borderlines (DIB-R) (7). Two observer-rated scales were also administered: the Modified Overt Aggression Scale (8) and the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (9).

Subjects were included if they met both DIB-R and DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder. They were excluded if they met current or lifetime criteria for schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or bipolar I or bipolar II disorder or were currently in the midst of a major depressive episode.

Subjects were included if they met both DIB-R and DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder. They were excluded if they met current or lifetime criteria for schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or bipolar I or bipolar II disorder or were currently in the midst of a major depressive episode.

Subjects were included if they met both DIB-R and DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder. They were excluded if they met current or lifetime criteria for schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or bipolar I or bipolar II disorder or were currently in the midst of a major depressive episode.

Subjects were included if they met both DIB-R and DSM-IV criteria for borderline personality disorder. They were excluded if they met current or lifetime criteria for schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or bipolar I or bipolar II disorder or were currently in the midst of a major depressive episode.
ment status, and time were the independent variables in these modeling analyses of the study’s two primary outcome measures.

**Results**

Thirty subjects were randomly assigned to E-EPA (N=20) or placebo (N=10). This 2:1 randomization ratio was chosen for this pilot study to allow us to gain more experience working with eicosapentaenoic acid. No significant differences were found at baseline on either demographic characteristics or treatment histories. The mean age of the 30 women in this study was 26.3 years (SD=6.2), 23 (76.7%) were white, and on average, they were functioning in the lower half of the fair range of the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (mean=54.5, SD=7.7). In terms of prior psychiatric treatment, 25 (83.3%) had been in psychotherapy, seven (23.3%) had taken psychotropic medications, and three (10.0%) had been hospitalized for psychiatric reasons.

Table 1 summarizes E-EPA versus placebo scores over the course of the entire study for both primary outcome measures. No significant between-group differences in baseline values were found. However, the E-EPA group experienced a significantly greater decrease in their mean Modified Overt Aggression Scale and Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale scores than those in the placebo-treated group.

It is important to note that 90.0% of both the E-EPA-treated and placebo-treated subjects remained in the study through week 8. The three subjects who discontinued their participation (two taking E-EPA and one taking placebo) did so because of life events unrelated to the study.

No clinically relevant side effects were elicited. In terms of adverse events, no subject made a suicide gesture or attempt during the course of the study, but 10% of those in each treatment group engaged in one instance of mild self-harm (wrist scratching).

**Discussion**

The results of this study suggest that E-EPA is a nutriceutical agent that is both well tolerated and may be efficacious for the treatment of moderately disturbed women with borderline personality disorder. Ninety percent of those taking this compound were able to complete the entire 8-week trial and reported no clinically relevant side effects. Those treated with this compound also experienced a significantly greater reduction in their overall aggression as well as their depressive symptoms than those treated with placebo. These results are consistent with the findings of recent reports concerning omega-3 fatty acids as an effective adjunctive treatment for bipolar disorder (3) and recurrent depression (4). However, the results of the current study extend these findings by suggesting that omega-3 fatty acids may be an effective form of monotherapy for women with moderately severe cases of borderline personality disorder.

The main limitations of this study are that only women were studied and all subjects were moderately ill. Whether similar results would be found for male subjects or subjects with a more severe symptom picture is unknown.
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Objective: The authors assessed health care costs associated with psychoanalytically oriented partial hospital treatment for borderline personality disorder compared with treatment as usual within general psychiatric services.

Method: Health care utilization of all borderline personality disorder patients who participated in a previous trial of partial hospital treatment compared with treatment as usual was assessed by using information from case notes and service providers. Costs were compared for the 6 months before treatment, 18 months of treatment, and an 18-month follow-up period.

Results: There were no cost differences between the groups during pretreatment or treatment. Costs of partial hospital treatment were offset by less psychiatric inpatient care and reduced emergency room treatment. The trend for costs to decrease in the partial hospitalization group during the follow-up period was not apparent in the treatment-as-usual group.

Conclusions: Specialist partial hospital treatment for borderline personality disorder is no more expensive than treatment as usual and shows considerable cost savings after treatment.

Patients with borderline personality disorder place a high demand on health and social services (1). In our recent randomized controlled trial comparing partial hospital treatment of borderline personality disorder with treatment as usual, we found a favorable outcome on symptomatic and clinical measures for the partial hospital treatment group both at the end of treatment (2) and after 18 months of follow up (3). This article reports a cost analysis of the data from the trial, focusing on the direct costs of partial hospital treatment compared with treatment as usual and on subsequent use of services over 18 months for all patients.

Method

The design of the study, the sample characteristics and criteria used for borderline personality disorder, and the written informed consent obtained after explanation of the procedure have been detailed in an earlier publication (2). For this report, infor-